## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

ORLANDO BETHEL, et al., :

Plaintiffs, :

vs. : CA 06-0223-KD-C

THE BALDWIN COUNTY BOARD:

OF EDUCATION, et al.,

Defendants. :

## **ORDER**

After due and proper consideration of all portions of this file deemed relevant to the issues raised, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge made under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3) and dated October 10, 2008, is

ADOPTED as the opinion of this court. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the plaintiff's motion is due to be, and hereby is, DENIED.

**DONE** this <u>16<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>October</u> 2008.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose KRISTI K. DuBOSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Delegations to a magistrate judge pursuant to U.S.C. § 636(b)(3) do not carry with them the requirements of § 636(b)(1) or § 636(b)(1)(C), and so there is no ten day waiting period for the appeal process. *Minetti v. Port of Seattle*, 152 F.3d 1113, 1114 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998) (per curiam). *See also United States v. Brumer*, 528 F.3d 157, 160 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2008).